IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORPORATION,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
v.	§	NO. 2:04cv85-DF
	§	
SMALL VALUE PAYMENTS	§	
COMPANY,	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF DATATREASURY CORPORATION'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT SMALL VALUE PAYMENT COMPANY'S MOTION TO STAY

(Corrected Table of Authorities)

Dockets.Justia.com

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES **Page(s)**

Accent Designs, Inc. v. Jan Jewelry Designs, Inc., 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4130 (S.D. N.Y. Apr. 6, 1994)……………………….…….………6,13

Agar Corp. v. Multi-Fluid, Inc., 983 F.Supp.1126 (S.D. Tex. 1997)………..…6,20

Amphenol T & M Antennas, Inc. v. Centurion Intn'l, Inc., 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13795 (N.D. Ill. Sep. 5, 2001)……………………………….……...6,8,19

Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Mass. Inst. Of Tech., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10941 (D. Mass. Jun. 6, 2005)………………………………………6

Arthrocare Corp. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 315 F. Supp. 2d 615 (D. Del. 2004) …………………………………………………………..14

Castanho v. Jackson Marine, Inc., 484 F. Supp. 201 (E.D. Tex. 1980)…………..…5

Cognex Corp. v. Nat'l Instruments Corp., 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25555 (D. Del. Jun. 29, 2001)……………………………………………...……6,19

Dow Chem. Co. v. Astro-Valcour, Inc., 110 F. Supp. 2d 104 (N.D. N.Y. 2000)…………………………………………………………..13

Emhart Industries, Inc. v. Sankyo Seiki Mfg. Co., Ltd., 1987 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15033 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 2, 1987)……………………………………….18

Enprotech Corp. v. Autotech Corp., 1990 U.S. Dist. LEXIS (N.D. Ill. 1990)…………………………………………………………..15

Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg, 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988)………..………………..13

Gladish v. Tyco Toys, Inc., 1993 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20211 (E.D. Cal. Sep. 16, 1993)…………………………………….……….6,16,18, 20

Hoechst Celanese Corp v. BP Chemicals, Ltd., 78 F. 3d 1575 (Fed. Cir.), *cert. denied*, 519 U.S. 911 (1996)…………………………………7

IMAX Corp. v. In-Three, Inc., 385 F. Supp. 2d 1030 (C.D. Cal. 2005)……………………...…………………………….5,6,15,16

In Re: Columbia Univ. Patent Litigation, 330 F. Supp. 2d 12 (D. Mass. 2004)……………………………………………………...……6,15

Jain v. Trimas Corp., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28950 (E.D. Cal. Sep. 27, 2005)….6

Landis v. N. Amer. Co., 299 U.S. 248 (1936)……………………………….…….5

Motorola Inc. v. STMicroelectronics, E.D.T.X. No. 1:03-cv 0407……..…...……9

NexMed Holdings, Inc. v. Block Investment, Inc., 2006 U.S. Dist.
LEXIS 3150 (D. Ut. Jan. 19, 2006)……………………………......…6,8

NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd., 397 F.Supp.2d 785
(E.D. Va. 2005)…………………………………………………....5,6,8

Output Technology Corp. v. Dataproducts Corp., 1991 U.S. Dist.
LEXIS 20168 (W.D. Wa. Nov. 25, 1991) …………….…………….....…7,13

San Giacomo N.A., Ltd. v. Pilliod Furniture, Inc., 1996 U.S. Dist
LEXIS 14440 (M.D. N.C. Aug. 13, 1996)…………………………………6,15

Soverain Software LLC v. Amazon.Com, 356 F.Supp.2d 660
(E.D. Tex. 2005)………………………………………….........3,5,6,10,11

Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics Orthopedics, Inc., 891 F. Supp. 751
(E.D. N.Y. 1995)…………………………………………………………..13

Translogic Tech., Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38853
(D. Or. Dec. 13, 2005)…………………………………………………6,8,13

Viskase Corp. v. Amer. Nat'l Can Co., 261 F.3d 1316
(Fed. Cir. 2001)……………………………………………………………5

Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., 69 F.Supp.2d 404
(W.D. N.Y. 1999)…...……………………………………………. 6,8,14

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Check Technology Case Stays in Texas, IP Law Bulletin, Oct. 13, 2005…………….……..2

DataTreasury Suits Staying Put, American Banker, Oct. 24, 2005……………………….2

DataTreasury Wins Another Round, Electronic Payments Week,
Nov. 15, 2005…………………………………………………………………….1

David Slays Another Goliath in Check-Imaging Infringement Cases, Digital Transactions, Nov. 7, 2005……………………………………………………..................1

JPM Settles in Check 21 Patent Battle, American Banker, Jul. 7, 2005…………………..1

J.P. Morgan Chase Settles Patent Claims, New York Times, Jul. 7, 2005………………..1

JP Morgan Settles Patent Suit Over Check Imaging Process, Wall Street Journal, Jul. 7, 2005……………..…………………………………………………………….1

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Sec. 1901.06 (8th ed. 2d rev.)……...…………15

Melville Company Takes on Nation's Largest Banks in Court Over Check 21 Rules, Long Island Business News, Oct. 14, 2005……………………………………….…...1

Patent Infringement Win Has Implications for Check 21 Implementation, The Green Sheet, Jul. 28, 2005…………………………………………………………………1